# Meeting Minutes VOI Community of Practice Meeting June 28, 2016 | 10:00 AM – 11:30 AM

Call-in number: 800-779-9660 | Passcode: 542-9511#

#### \*In attendance

Jeffery Adkins (NOAA)\*
Gary Anderson (NIST)
Sarah Cline (DOI)\*
Denna Geppi (NOAA)\*
Monica Grasso (NOAA)\*
Karen Jenni (USGS)\*
Jamie Kruse (East Carolina University)
Haydar Kurban (Howard University)\*
Michelle McClure (NOAA)

Marilyn Murphy (NOAA)\*
Lou Nadeau (ERG) \*
Toni Parham (NOAA)
Emily Pindilli (USGS)
Sarah Ryker (USGS)
Carl Shapiro (USGS)\*
Ben Simon (DOI)
Adam Smith (NOAA)
Valerie Were (NOAA)\*

\_\_\_\_\_

## **Summary of Action Items**

Ben Miller (RAND)\*

- **Community of Practice:** members are invited to review the draft objectives of the working group and provide written comments to Denna by **8/1/16.** 
  - Draft Objectives- Value of Information Community of Practice
- **Community of Practice:** members are invited to send a topic suggestions (study or other substantive topic) to focus on in the next call to Denna by **8/1/16.**
- **Community of Practice:** members are invited to provide input on additional agencies and points of contact to invite participants.
  - VOI: Spreadsheet for additional Points of Contact
  - Draft blanket email to send out to other agencies
- **Community of Practice:** Members identify if they would like to be a part of the August focused organizational meeting for the VOI COP to Denna by 7/25/16.
- **Community of Practice:** Member identify preferences for the VOI COP full group meeting to Denna by **8/1/16.**
- Community of Practice: Members continue to share VOI Studies.
  - Members can either add directly to the spreadsheet (below) or send to Denna.
  - VOI Spreadsheet of Collected Case Studies
- NOAA Social Science Team: Move forward with the PRSS Social Science Website, work with
  other Community of Practice members to decide how to represent the outputs of the working
  group.
- NOAA Social Science Team: Schedule August Organizational Meeting.

- NOAA Social Science Team: Schedule September VOI COP Full Group meeting.
  - Conduct outreach ahead of time to see if we can get others involved.
- NOAA Social Science Team: Edit and Release VOI Workshop Report.
- VOI COP Organizational Group: Develop work plan with short and long term actions.

#### Meeting Convenes. Roll call etc.

#### **Setting the Stage**

- Introduction of Monica Grasso, NOAA's Chief Economist to the Community of Practice.
- Introduction Community of Practice Members to Monica Grasso.
- Recap of the March 3<sup>rd</sup> Value of Information Workshop (Adkins/Shapiro)
  - 41 participants
  - Breakout session
    - Identifying Programmatic Needs: discussing agency perspectives and experience, key weakness of existing work and examples of good work to emulate. This session included discussion of what questions are being asked of program manager's OMB, and Congress.
  - Show and Tell Presentations:
    - o recap of current status of VOI studies
    - o upcoming actions in the Value of Information community
      - Paris GEOValue workshop, AGU fall meeting
      - case study discussing survey and Bayesian statistics
  - Breakout Session
    - o Identifying Methodological Needs/State of the Practice:
      - describe current practice and identify and
      - share state of the art practices
  - Development of draft common criteria and set of standards for acceptable VOI studies
    - o Four questions:
      - (1) how do we get answers quickly? (uncertainty)
      - (2) How do we characterize the program manager's' needs? (information needed to make decisions)
      - (3) What are the characteristics that constitute a valid VOI study from economists' sense? (minimum standard concept).
      - (4) How do we implement adaptive decision processes that incorporate improved VOI information as it becomes available?
- Group discussion: Cultivating a Community of Practice
- Two outcomes from this meeting that Jeff is looking for:
  - O Building a knowledge base, spreadsheet, annotated bibliography, and figuring out how we want to move forward
  - O Broadening the group, determining who else we need in these conversations?
  - Three reasons this is important;
    - o need for collaboration, and talk to colleagues, what has been done and what is being done

- continuing need for review, conflict between the need to do something quickly and the need to be rigorous; agreement on what constitutes a valid study.
- o visibility; an important area of research and we can make it more visible; open discussions; have seminars, etc. to get support and knowledge.
- This group is complementary to GEO Value, international group focused on geospatial information.

## Recap of the May 4th meeting

- Recap of OCED Paris: Data to Decisions: Valuing the Social Benefits of Geospatial Information.
  - The ways to understand the benefits that come due to geospatial information and natural disasters to make decisions were discussed.
    - Most caucuses were interested in being part of this conversation.
  - GeoValue: The focus is the relationship between two communities.
  - This is a broad international community that meets every year or two.
  - The Value of Information Community of Practice relates to GeoValue in two ways:
    - The discussion in our group is more frequent and approachable.
    - We can serve as the US Community of Practice that feeds into the international GEO Value community.

#### **Discussion of Collected Case Studies**

- A Few Specific VOI Studies Discussed
  - Beaman, Lori, et al. "Profitability of fertilizer: Experimental evidence from female rice farmers in Mali." *The American Economic Review* 103.3 (2013): 381-386.
  - Shrader, Jeffrey. "Expectations and adaptation to environmental risk." Under development.
     Accessed at <a href="http://acsweb.ucsd.edu/~jgshrade/papers/forecasts\_and\_adaptation.pdf">http://acsweb.ucsd.edu/~jgshrade/papers/forecasts\_and\_adaptation.pdf</a> on July 13, 2016.
  - Volnaci:

#### **Discussion of VOI studies from Participants**

- Ben Miller:
  - An important benefit class for information is reducing the cost of false positives (warnings when nothing happens) and false negatives (failure to warn when something happens) (type 1 and type 2 errors).
- Haydar:
  - GDP impacts,
  - Adam Rose, University of SC
- Gary:
  - Listed a number of NIST studies
- Tracv:
  - We need to know whom our customers and stakeholders are.
  - NOAA is looking into a market analysis for its products and services: agree on concept, looking for good examples.
- Adam:
  - Reduce type 1 and type 2 error

- Carl:
  - Studies that show mechanisms but no empirical evidence?
  - Explain benefits that are well known.

## **Expand federal partnership**

- NASA
- o USDA
- o Bureau of Land Management
- o FWLS
- National Geospatial Intelligence Agency
- Ben: Other groups:
  - University of Maryland,
  - o RFF
  - Howard
  - o ESRI
  - Digital Globe
- Carl: Names from GPS study:

## Plan for outreach with other federal agencies

- Use person contacts to reach out to these agencies.
  - o Becomes easier to reach out to cold calls when it's operational.
  - First step, personal.
  - Then, comprehensively
  - Before we reach out, send email on who is who, who we're contacting.
  - Send the names, agencies to reach out to Denna, or add to the google sheet.
  - Use the draft email (attached) to keep our message consistent.

## Others outside the federal family:

- Insurance companies:
  - PCS and Re-Insurance;
  - o what works for them; what doesn't work; why?
- This discussion led to an idea by Carl to hold targeted outreach calls for specific industries:
  - Moving to a programmatic agenda: core group involved in almost all the sessions and other will involvement on specific topics; insurance, electric power, etc. may not be interested.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  This community sponsors web-ex presentations or on-site meetings focused on specific topics.
- Explore topics of interest.
- Support each other in doing the work.
- Discuss problems we're grappling with: programmatic perspective.

- Build our knowledge base.
- Sharing our own statements of work and work products.
- Get an execution plan in place.

#### **Action Item:**

• Create a work plan with a short-term focus on issue or issues; appeal to audience.

## Ideas for topics to discuss on our next call:

- Karen Jenni:
  - o Decision context
- Sarah Cline:
  - o How to apply
- Ben Miller
  - use categories,
  - agricultural applications
  - o application-based case study:
    - Methodology
    - particular method
    - level of rigor
- Societal benefits
  - on one hand; what changes; likelihood of change on one side.
  - another group of studies, don't look at societal benefits but impacts to economy—employment.
  - see some examples of those types of studies;
    - what was in their mind?
    - what do we need to include in study to address this?
    - we don't know what was behind the reasoning.
      - when do we need benefits;
      - when do we need impacts;
      - weather products;
      - 10-day and 5-day forecasts;
      - model improved resiliency;
- Number of studies on the value of satellite imagery:
  - o Survey approach with broad benefits and others focused on specific value and use
    - How are the data used, specifically?
    - Macro vs. micro focus.
  - Need to drill down to value of use.
- We could use a pair of studies,
  - compare and contrast
  - when one or the other is needed
- Marilyn:
  - Hauke,
    - scatterometry data
    - NOAA publications

- user impacts
- not claiming anything about quality
- Karen Jenny:
  - Different methods for ascertaining the value of information:
    - decision analyst
    - different approach for assessing the value of information
    - different from economic approaches
    - the basic thing is that in decision; decision analysis says information doesn't have value unless it changes a decision
    - tricky to value
- Interesting topic precautionary principle;
  - natural hazards
  - potential for earthquakes
  - evacuation plan high likelihood
- What is the VOI if next year we don't have the event;
  - we need to be prepared even if we don't use it
- Ben Miller
  - jelling those two different views
    - defining the use itself, potential value, insurance value, use is having it in your back pocket.
- We talk about decision-making, assumed level of decision-making, political, policy, some of the satellite assessments.
- Our group needs one place where we can exchange information
  - Tell people who are looking for studies what we have
  - Section on the NOAA website for this group
    - studies to put to the public that we have access
  - It is a good idea to develop a website
    - explore what would be included on that website
    - agencies have a button to access the VOI website
    - schedule of topics to discuss
    - Creating widgets

### **Next Steps**

- Written comments on objectives
- Substantive focus for next call: topic
- Move forward website
- List of people to reach out to; agencies and Points of Contact
- Revise the Value of Information Workshop report.
- Work on Short-term and Long-Term plan
- First substantive meeting in September and have a more focused organizational discussion in August meeting